

To: Alan Nevin, Chair Internship Committee San Diego Chapter, Lambda Alpha International

From: Jack Ryan, Burnham Moores Center for Real Estate, University of San Diego

### RE: Proposed Workforce Housing Development on City of San Diego Land

Date: March 24, 2019

The purpose of this memorandum is to summarize the results of a research assignment focusing on the housing shortage in San Diego County as well as a suggested proposal to alleviate the shortage.

Last year, the San Diego chapter of Lambda Alpha International (LAI) created an internship program for a student at a local university to complete a research assignment which included a determination of how the use of underutilized city owned land could be developed with non-subsidized sale and rental housing and generate funds for the city to fund additional housing.

LAI is a land economics society. The membership of LAI includes outstanding individuals from many parts of the world who have distinguished themselves in all of the various disciplines and activities that relate to the use and re-use of land.

These individuals include not only those who are involved in the ownership, management, regulation and conservation of land, but also those who are involved in its development, redevelopment and preservation. The membership includes architects, engineers, real estate developers, planners, government officials, professors, bankers, attorneys, real estate consultants, and officials of organizations and national and international associations.

The diversity of this membership provides an unusual reservoir of talent, knowledge and expertise to draw upon for educational programs and discussions.

I would like to express my gratitude to Ian Gill and his team at Silvergate Development, Jesse Kleist with KB Homes, Nathan Moeder with London Moeder Advisors, Lin Martin with Perry Commercial and Alan Nevin with Xpera Group, all whom are members of the San Diego chapter of LAI and who provided me with development background that was critical input for the project underwriting and analysis.

The sources of data contained in this report include the City of San Diego, industry publications, San Diego Building Industry Association, building experts, developers, building industry consultants and LAI members.

### **Background**

Housing price appreciation in San Diego continues to significantly outpace wage growth. According to the National Association of Realtors (NAR) and a study released August 15, 2018 by mortgage website HSH, San Diego was one of the least affordable US metropolitan areas to purchase a home. The median home price is currently \$645,000 in the San Diego metro area according to NAR.

The HSH study concludes that San Diego is the third least affordable area out of the 50 studied in the U.S. and a homebuyer would need to make \$131,000 per year to qualify to purchase a median priced home assuming a 20% down payment, while the 2018 Area Median income (AMI) for San Diego County is \$81,800 according to San Diego Housing & Community Development Services.

Based on the calculations by San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG), San Diego County indicates a shortage of 100,000+ housing units. The housing shortage in San Diego consists primarily of workforce middle income housing both for sale and rental housing.

The lack of affordability in the for-sale housing arena is creating an unusual level of demand for apartments in San Diego County. In addition, there has been a long-time dearth of apartment construction due to the great recession resulting in extremely low vacancy rates while rents have dramatically increased.

HUD recently published a comprehensive market study of housing in San Diego County. The study concluded that the current demand for single-family homes is 6,333 units annually and for multi-family units 6,033 units, a total of 12,367 units annually.

### **Site Selection**

The methodology used to select the subject site for this study involved reviewing the list of city-owned parcels which are potentially underutilized and then setting criteria for site selection including parcel size, development potential and proximity to downtown, employers and mass transit. A summary of the inventory follows. This inventory does not include surplus land parcels owned by the San Diego Metropolitan Transit System.

# Summary Developable City Owned Land City of San Diego as of Fall 2018

Designated Use	No. Parcels
Commercial	2
Hold for Development	27
Hold for Study	33
Lease	13
Not designated	45
Sale Exclusive	33
Sale General	22
Sale Public Auction	13
Sale Specified	2
Total	190

Note: excludes land designated as water drainage, etc.

Source: City of San Diego

The City-owned site selected for this study contains approximately ten acres and is located at 20th and B Street, San Diego. The current use of the subject site is a maintenance yard for city vehicles and not presently designated for residential use.

The City has not been contacted to ascertain the availability of the property.



### **Study Methodology**

The basis for the study was to determine the benefits of using City-owned land for residential development. This analysis includes both rental and sale townhome product.

It was assumed that the City would contribute the land to the developer at a zero basis. With the land at a zero basis, the rent for apartments and price for sale housing could be produced for substantially less than if the developer would had to pay market prices for the land.

When the development (apartment project or individual townhomes) are eventually sold, the city would recapture the value of the initial land contribution as well as a portion of the profits. The City would then be able to use those funds to produce additional housing.

The methodology to estimate land values uses a land residual analysis which is detailed on the attached For Sale and For Rent pro formas.

The analysis involves estimating a market value of the For Sale units based on market comparables and a value of the For Rent units based on market comparables, market rents, expenses, and capitalization rates.

The total project costs are estimated to include construction costs, development fees, professional fees (architect, engineers, etc.), financing costs, on-site and off-site development costs, and a market rate developer profit.

The difference between the market value of the project and the total costs (without land) results in a land residual value.

The proposed housing development is one hundred For Sale townhomes and two hundred For Rent apartments on ten acres outlined as follows:

### For Sale Townhomes

The townhome pro forma demonstrates new For Sale product delivered below the area median home price and providing the city with a significant upside on land value compared to its current use as a maintenance yard.

The exhibit below shows that the 1,750 square foot homes, absence the residual land value, could be sold for \$455,286, or \$260 per square foot, significantly below market-rate housing of a similar type.

Assuming twenty units per acre on five acres, the For Sale project generates a residual land value of \$69,872 per unit, \$1,397,444 per acre or \$32.08 per square foot.

The \$32.08 per square foot value is a significantly higher value than the value of the land in its current use as a maintenance yard. Industrial land values in San Diego currently range approximately for \$12 - \$18 per square foot based on data provided by Cushman and Wakefield.

A detail analysis of the pro forma appears in the Appendix of this report.

# Summary: Townhome Development City-Owned Land 20th & B Street ProForma

Land Area (Acres)	5	
No. Units	100	
Units/Acre	20	

	Per Unit	Total
Avg. Unit Sq.Ft.	1,750	175,000
Sales Price - Market Rate	\$ 525,000	\$ 52,500,000
Price/Sq.Ft.	\$ 300	\$ 300
		-
Direct Costs	\$ 331,303	33,130,300
Closing Costs	\$ 23,625	2,362,500
G&A	\$ 27,626	2,762,606
Sales & Marketing	\$ 18,750	
Finance Costs	\$ 23,982	2,398,200
Total Costs w/o Land	\$ 425,286	42,528,606
Developer Profit (Fixed)	\$ 30,000	3,000,000
Total Cost w/o Land	\$ 455,286	45,528,606
Land Value (Residual)	\$ 69,872	6,987,221
Per Acre	\$ 1,397,444	
Per Sq.Ft.	\$ 32.08	

Home Sale Price w/o Land	\$ 455,286
Home Price/Sq.Ft.	\$ 260

### **Market-Rate Apartments**

The apartment pro forma demonstrates delivery of two hundred apartments providing much needed workforce housing to a market with vacancy rates at record lows.

The apartments, absence the cost of land, could be rented for \$2.50 per square foot, \$.50-1.00 per square foot less than new downtown apartment units.

Assuming a density of fifty units per acre on four acres and rents of \$2.50 per square foot, the project generates a residual land value of \$61,286 per unit or \$12,257,267.

### Summary: Apartment Development City-Owned Land 20th & B Street ProForma

£and Area (Acres)	4	
No. Units	200	
Units/Acre	50	

Unit Type		Rent psf	Monthly Rent
Studio	20%	\$2.50	\$1,650
1Bed/1Bath	30%	\$2.50	\$2,200
2Bed/2Bath	50%	\$2.50	\$2,750
Total	100%		

	Т	Per Unit	Total
Avg. Unit Sq.Ft.		860	172,000
Effective Gross Income		25,026	5,005,200
NOI		16,767	3,353,484
Capitalization Rate		4.5%	4.5%
Capitalized Value	\$	372,609	\$ 74,521,867
Price/Sq.Ft.	\$	433	\$ 433
Total Costs w/o Land	$\vdash$		
Direct Costs	\$	257,685	51,537,000
Marketing G&A	\$	15,461	3,092,200
Finance Costs	\$	18,177	3,635,400
Total Costs w/o Land	\$	291,323	58,264,600
Fixed Development Fee	\$	20,000	4,000,000
Total Costs w/o Land.			
including Development Fee	\$	311,323	62,264,600
Land Value (Residual)	\$	61,286	\$ 12,257,267
Total Costs with Land	\$	372,609	74,521,867

Apt. Cost w/o Land	\$ 311,323	\$ 62,264,600
Apt. Cost w/o Land per Sq.Ft.	\$ 362	\$ 362

The rental and sale projects combined will generate a residual land value at time of completion of \$19,234,414.

# Residual Land Value at Time of Completion Sale and Rental Projects 20th & B Street

Project	No. Units	RL	_V Per Unit	Total
Sale	100	\$	69,872	\$ 6,987,221
Rental	200	\$	61,236	\$ 12,247,193
Total	300	\$	64,115	\$ 19,234,414
				_

As an example of the revenue that the City could obtain by contributing this parcel of land, we calculated the increase in value at a pace of 3.0% annually for ten years. At that point, we "sold" the properties, returning the residual land value to the City and then divided the sale profit 50/50 with the property owner.

The total revenue that the City would receive at that 10-year sale totals almost \$30,000,000. In addition, they would have received property taxes as well as the initial fees that the City levies on new construction.

### Estimated Value at Time of Sale - 10 Years Sale and Rental Properties 20th and B Streets

	For Sale 1	Townhomes	Apart	ments
	Per/Unit	Total	Per/Unit	Total
No. Units		100		200
Estimated Value at Completion	\$525,000	\$52,500,000	\$372,609	\$74,521,867
Appreciation Rate	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%
Years	10	10	10	10
Total Increase in Value	30.0%	30.0%	30%	30%
Future Value	\$682,500	\$68,250,000	\$484,392	\$96,878,427
Estimated Appreciation	\$157,500	\$15,750,000	\$111,783	\$22,356,560
City's Land Residual (Recaptured)	\$69,872	\$6,987,221	\$61,236	\$12,247,193
Total Appreciation for			<u> </u>	
Homeowner & Apt. Developer	<u>\$87,628</u>	<u>\$8,762,779</u>	<u>\$50,547</u>	<u>\$10,109,367</u>
City Land Value in 10 Years				
Recapture of LRV	\$69,872	\$6,987,221	\$61,236	\$12,247,193
Percent of Appreciation in				
Value	50%	50%	50%	50%
Total Appreciation	\$87,628	\$8,762,779	\$50,547	\$5,054,684
City Participation in Upside	\$43,814	\$4,381,390	\$25,273	\$5,054,684
Total City Benefit	<u>\$113,686</u>	\$11,368,610	<u>\$86,509</u>	\$17,301,876
Total City Benefit - Apts & TH				\$28,670,487

Development of the subject site provides a means of achieving important goals for the city of San Diego:

- adds three hundred new housing units which incrementally moves the City towards its housing goals;
- > providing affordable housing close to downtown, employers and transit;
- ➤ helping to meet Climate Action Plan (CAP) goals by placing housing close to transit and employers;
- > Substantially increases the property tax for the new property owners;
- > The project will pay full property taxes (which supports the schools);
- > Will pay more than \$11,000,000 to the City for fees and exactions; and

➤ maximizing the monetary value of a non-revenue producing city asset by realizing approximately \$30,000,000 that can be applied to new residential development of "work force" housing.

In conclusion, repurposing the existing use of city owned land to workforce housing is beneficial to City of San Diego residents by providing affordable much needed supply in closer proximity to employment and is beneficial to the city by maximizing value of city land and generating revenue which can be utilized to provide additional housing.

### Appendix – Detailed Development Exhibits

#### **Detailed ProForma Apartment Project** 20th & B Street

Apa	rtme	nts
γpu		

Land Allocation	
For Sale Townhomes	5 Acres
Apartments	4 Acres
Common Area 10%	1 Acre
Total Land	10 Acres

Unit Type	# of Units	Unit SF	Total SF	Rent psf	Monthly Rent	Annual Rent
Studio 20%	40	600	24,000	\$2.50	\$1,500	\$720,000
1Bed /1Bath 30%	60	800	48,000	\$2.50	\$2,000	\$1,440,000
2Bed/2Bath 50%	100	1000	100,000	\$2.50	\$2,500	\$3,000,000
Total 100%	200		172,000	_		\$5,160,000
Average		860	-			

INCOME & EXPENSES
Annual Dent

INCOME & EXPENSES		
Annual Rent	•	\$5,160,000
Vacancy	3%	\$154,800
Effective Gross Income		\$5,005,200
Operating Expenses	33%	\$1,651,716
Net Operating Income		\$3,353,484
Market Value at CAP Rate	4.5%	\$74,521,867

#### Value/Unit \$372,609

### Direct Cost Base Construciton psf (1) \$215

Billott Goot		
Base Construciton psf (1)	\$215	\$184,900
Fees (2)		\$33,434
Property Tax (3)		\$4,471
Site Development psf/site (4)	\$20	\$17,424
Insurance & Bond		\$3,500
Consultants/Architects/Engineers		\$14,000
Total Direct Cost		\$257,729

### Marketing/G&A Marketing/lease up Costs

Marketing/lease up Costs		\$500
Field Overhead (5)	2%	\$5,155
	4% of direct	
Developer Fee/Overhead	costs	\$10,309
Total Marketing/G&A		\$15,464

#### Finance Costs

Interest Expense (6)	\$14,072
Due Diligence Costs	\$500
Loan Fee. (7)	\$3,608
Total Finance Costs	\$18,180

Total Costs w/o Land/Dev Margin	\$291,373
Land Value (residual)	\$61,236
Total Costs with Land Value	\$352,609

### Fixed Developer

Developer Margin	Margin	\$20,000
Value/Unit		\$372,609

(2) Fees cacluated on a per unit base		per unit
Development Impact Fees(8)		\$13,555
Transportation Fees (9)		\$2,314
Inclusion Affordable Housing Fee psf		
(10)	\$10.82	\$9,305
City permit fees (11)		\$775
Water and Sewer(12)		\$4,733
School psf (13)	\$3.20	\$2,752
Total		\$33,434

Footnotes: Apartment Development					
(1) Estimate per Silvergate					
(3) Estimate during construction 1% of	60% of Value ov	ver perio	d 2 years		
(4) Estimate per Silvergate based on per	sf/site				
(5) 2 % of Direct Costs					
(6) Interest Expence assumes Loan Ar	mount 70% of Di	irect Co	sts, Interest	Rate 6%,	65%
(7) Estimate 1% of loan amount (70% of	of Direct Costs)				
(8) San Diego Planning Department Fis	scal year 2019 p	age 2 G	olden Hill D	evelopme	nt Impac
(9) San Diego Planning Department Fis	scal year 2019 p	age 3 G	olden Hill Ti	ransporta	tion Fees
(10) City of San Diego Developmental	Services Depart	ment Ju	ly 2018 Info	rmation B	ulletin 53
(11) BIA Schedule page 32					
(12) City of San Diego Water and Sewe	er Fees Public U	Jtitlites D	epartment	per	
Information Bulleton July 2014 Water \$	3,047 Sewer \$4	,124 Tot	al \$7,171x.6	66 (per	
density)=\$,4733					
(13) BIA Schedule page 52					

### Detailed ProForma For Sale Townhomes 20th & B Street

Land Allocation	
For Sale Townhomes	5 Acres
Apartments	4 Acres
Common Area 10%	_ 1 Acre
Total Land	10 Acres

			Town	nhomes
			per unit	<u>total</u>
November of Heaven			00/	5 acres
Number of Homes Square Footage			20/acre 1,750	100 175,000
Sales Price			\$525,000	\$52,500,000
Base Price Per Sq Ft			\$300	ψ02,300,000
Revenues and Expence Summary				
_				
Revenues Sales Price			\$525,000	\$52,500,000
Direct Cost				
Base Construciton psf (1)		\$120	\$210,000	
Fees (2)			\$49,553	
Property Tax (3)		1%	\$5,250	
Site Development (4)			\$35,000	
Insurance & Bond			\$6,000	
Consultants/Architects/Engineers			\$15,000	
Warranty		2%	\$10,500	
Total Direct Cost			\$331,303	\$33,130,300
Closing Costs				
Closing Costs		0.5%	\$2,625	
Internal Commissions		2.5%	\$13,125	
External Commissions		1.5%	\$7,875	
Total Closing Costs			\$23,625	\$2,362,500
Construction/Project G&A				
Field OH (5)		2%	\$6,626	
G&A/MNGT Fee		4%	\$21,000	
Total G&A and Field			\$27,626	\$2,762,606
Sales & Marketing				
Sales and Markteting		3%	\$15,750	
Model & Sale Office			\$3,000	
Total G&A Costs			\$18,750	\$1,875,000
Finance Costs				
Interest Expence (6)			\$20,673	
Due Diligence Costs			\$500	
Loan Fee (7)			\$2,650	
Total Finance Costs			\$23,824	\$2,382,373
Total Costs w/o land			\$425,128	\$42,512,779
Developer Profit (Fixed)			\$30,000	\$3,000,000
Total Costs including Dev. Profit			\$455,128	\$45,512,779
Land Value (residual)			\$69,872	\$6,987,221
(2) Fees on per unit basis		per unit	]	
Developer Impact Fee (8)		\$13,555		
Transportation Fee (RTCIP) (9)		\$2,314		
	\$10.82	\$18,935		
City permit fees (11)		\$1,978		
Water and Sewer (12)		\$7,171		
		<b>ME 000</b>	1	
School 3.20psf (13) Total	_	\$5,600 \$49,553		

	Footnotes for Townhomes			
	(1) Construciton psf estimate per KB homes			
	(3) Estimate during construction 1% of value			
	(4) Estimate per KB Homes			
	(5) 2 % of Direct Costs			
	(6) Interest Expence assumes Loan Amount 80% of Direct Costs, Interest			
	(7) Estimate 1% of loan amount (80% of direct costs)			
	(8) San Diego Planning Department Fiscal Year 2019 Impact Fee Schedu page 2 Golden Hill			
	(9) San Diego Planning Department Fiscal Year 2019 Impact Fee Schedu page 3			
	(10) City of San Diego Developmental Services Department Inclusionary Affordable Housing July 2018 Informational Bulletin 532			
	(11) BIA Schedule page 32			
	(12) City of San Diego Water and Sewer Fees Public Utitlites Department			
	(13) BIA Schedule page 52			

# Curriculum Vitae Jack Ryan Burnham Moores Center for Real Estate

Jack is attending the University of San Diego and is planning on graduating in the fall of 2019 with a major in Business Administration and a double minor in Accounting and Art. He holds a California Department of Real Estate Salesperson License for the past two years and has successfully closed several residential real estate transactions and is working towards obtaining his Broker's license.

He has also worked with his father who is a real estate investor/developer in Northern California. Jack enjoys outdoor sports of surfing, skiing, hunting and fishing and has been on the USD Golf Club, the Accounting Society and has played four years of Rugby with Rugby clubs and USD.

